Application No. Applicant(s) 09/774.706 YAMADA, MASAHIKO Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Gevell Selby 2622 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Gevell Selbv. (3) . (2) Diallo Crenshaw, Reg. No. 52,778. (4)____. Date of Interview: 08 July 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representativel Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ___ Claim(s) discussed: 10-20. Identification of prior art discussed: NA. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative agreed to delete the following terms from claims 11 and 17: "wherein said second parameter comprises at least one transform function". (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

0.5. Papert and i statement Unice
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080611

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.